Subject Code	ENGL2016					
Subject Title	Language in Social Contexts					
Credit Value	3					
Level	2					
Pre-requisite / Co-requisite/ Exclusion	None					
Objectives	This core proficiency subject develops English language and communication skills while introducing students to the core issues about the social dimensions of language. In particular, the course explores answers to the following question: how does language affect society and, in reverse, how does society affect language? The subject canvasses some of the main themes in contemporary sociolinguistics, especially multilingualism in society, English as a world language, and language variation as it concerns dialects, gender, and speech communities. This subject enables students to develop the English language and communication skills needed to understand and produce autonomous, academic discourses – both in written and spoken forms – that will serve them as students of the Faculty of Humanities.					
Intended Learning Outcomes	Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to: Category A: Professional/academic knowledge and skills a. practice their literacy skills by reading relevant academic texts and writing reflections on these texts. b. communicate effectively in academic discussions, group work, and presentations relevant to the humanities. c. apply key sociolinguistic concepts to develop reasoning and argumentation skills. d. reflect critically on their own language and communication development. e. identify, analyse, and discuss key themes and issues in contemporary sociolinguistics. f. show aptitude for independent and collaborative critical thinking. Category B: Attributes for all-roundedness g. work creatively, both independently and as a member of a team. h. demonstrate a global outlook and an awareness of cultural diversity as it relates to language in society.					
	i. develop the ability to appreciate and analyse ambiguous real-life situations with nuance and intellectual flexibility.					

1. Domains of language use. Subject 2. Code choice, diglossia, code-switching/code-mixing. Synopsis/ 3. Languages vs. dialects, including regional and social dialects. **Indicative** 4. Style and register: addressee as an influence on style (special focus **Syllabus** on speech accommodation theory). 5. Speech communities. 6. Linguistic varieties and multilingual nations: vernacular vs. standard languages, world Englishes, lingua francas, pidgins, and creoles. 7. Attitudes towards varieties of English: overt vs. covert prestige, standard vs. vernacular forms. 8. Introduction to language and gender. Teaching/ Teaching and learning is oriented toward developing students' academic Learning English language and communication skills, with contemporary Methodology sociolinguistics as the topic of inquiry. In this way, ENGL2XXX functions according to the tenants of a content-based proficiency course. The lectures are supported by the guided reading of a seminal text in contemporary sociolinguistics that introduces the students to core issues. Students are expected to engage and discuss assigned readings from the textbook throughout the semester; moreover, further exploration of these topics takes place through written and oral discussion. During the seminar portion of the subject, students apply their understanding of new concepts and ideas learned in class while practicing their language and communication skills. Key activities include reading case studies and authentic resources (see indicative inclass resources list) for the purposes of group discussions, group writing tasks, personal reflections, and presentations. The students will produce a series of written texts – both independently and collaboratively – that relate the course content to the students' own sociolinguistic lives. Through guided advancement of language and communication skills in a sheltered classroom setting, this subject trains students to engage in academic literature that is relevant to the humanities. As students' language and communication skills will improve at a faster rate through frequent deployment of these skills, active participation is required and reflected in the overall assessment. Assessment Methods in % Specific Intended subject learning outcomes to be Alignment weighting assessed (Please tick as appropriate) assessment with Intended methods/tasks f i b d c h Learning a **Outcomes**

1. Written Reflections (5 x 500 words)	40%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√
2. Oral Presentation	25%		✓	✓		√	✓	✓	✓	✓
3. Final Assessment Activity	20%	✓		√	√	√		√	✓	√
4. Class Participation	15%	✓	√	✓	✓	√	✓	√	√	✓
Total	100 %									

Assessment for the subject is oriented in developing and examining the students' language and communication skills for the humanities. Oral communication, as well as collaborative engagement and teamwork, is assessed by way of a group presentation. As part of this assignment, students select a specific topic in sociolinguistics, conduct primary and secondary research, and create a well-organised academic presentation. Finally, using the communication skills they have acquired for academic discussions, students present their findings to the class.

Academic reading and writings skills are assessed in group and individual work. A second group project tasks students to discuss newly introduced concepts and compose a total of five written reflections (approximately 500 words in length) that apply these concepts to local language phenomena. The final assessment activity measures students' understanding of core concepts in sociolinguistics. In addition, students are evaluated according to their individual levels of class participation

These activities and assessments contribute, in an integrated manner, to the fulfillment of the subject's intended learning outcomes and the language and communication requirements. Moreover, they provide the foundation for students' long-term development of higher-order thinking skills and written expression that will allow them to participate more fully in future subjects in the Faculty of Humanities.

Student Study
Effort
Expected

Class contact:	
 Lectures 	26 Hrs.

	■ Seminars	13 Hrs.
	Other student study effort:	
	Private study	60 Hrs.
	 Take-home assignment (Research and Preparation for the Oral Presentation) 	24 Hrs.
	Total student study effort	123 Hrs.

Reading List and References

Recommended Reading List

Holmes, J. and Wilson, N. (2017). *An Introduction to Sociolinguistics* (5th edition). London, U.K.: Taylor and Francis.

Indicative in-class resources

Albury, N., Eisenchlas, S. & Schalley, A. (2018, February 21). Cultural heritage is a child's right, so let's celebrate International Mother Language Day. http://www.abc.net.au/news/2018-02-20/let-children-speak-the-languages-of-their-ancestors/9446860?section=analysis

Eades, D. (2012). The social consequences of language ideologies in courtroom cross-examination. *Language in Society, 41*(04), 471-497.

Grosjean, F. (2017, July 28). Maybe you are bilingual after all! https://www.swissinfo.ch/eng/opinion_maybe-you-are-bilingual-after-all/43361136

Henry, K-A. (2018, January 28). Saying goodbye to sexist language. https://www.themiamihurricane.com/2018/01/28/saying-goodbye-to-sexist-language/

Hilgendorf, S. K. (2007). English in Germany: contact, spread and attitudes. *World Englishes*, 26(2), 131-148.

Pavlenko, A. (2017, April 12). Misunderstanding in the Multilingual Workplace. https://www.psychologytoday.com/blog/life-bilingual/201704/misunderstanding-in-the-multilingual-workplace

South China Morning Post. (2018, February 5). English skills are essential for Hong Kong to remain a 'world city'. http://www.scmp.com/comment/letters/article/2132085/english-skills-are-essential-hong-kong-remain-world-city

Tsang, G. & Shan, M. O. (2017, August 5). Time to change Hong Kong's language policy so city can succeed.

http://www.scmp.com/comment/letters/article/2105454/time-change-hong-kongs-language-policy-so-city-can-succeed

Wang, X., & Chong, S. L. (2011). A hierarchical model for language maintenance and language shift: focus on the Malaysian Chinese community. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*, 32(6), 577-591.

Prepared by Anne Schluter and Nathan Albury, February 2018